### STATEMENT OF BASIS

as required by LAC 33:1X.3109, for draft Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. <u>LA0049379</u>; Al <u>19187</u>; <u>PER20070001</u> to discharge to waters of the State of Louisiana as per LAC 33:1X.2311.

The permitting authority for the Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) is:

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality

Office of Environmental Services

P. O. Box 4313

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

I. THE APPLICANT IS:

Tchefuncta Club Estates, Inc

Tchefuncta Club Estates Aeration Lagoon

2 Pinecrest Drive Covington, LA 70433

II.

PREPARED BY:

Christopher K. Bertrand

DATE PREPARED:

August 2, 2007

Ш.

**PERMIT ACTION:** 

Reissue LPDES permit <u>LA0049379</u>, AI <u>19187</u>; <u>PER20070001</u>

Revised LPDES application received: June 18, 2007

## IV. <u>FACILITY INFORMATION:</u>

- A. The application is for the discharge of treated sanitary wastewater from a privately owned treatment facility serving a residential subdivision.
- B. The permit application did not indicate the receipt of industrial wastewater.
- C. The facility is located at # 2 Pinecrest Drive in Covington, St. Tammany Parish
- D. The treatment facility consists of a three (3) cell aerated lagoon with sand filter. Followed by sodium hypochlorite disinfection.
- E. Location:

Front Gate:

Latitude 30° 25' 49" North

Longitude 90° 8' 25" West

Outfall 001 Discharge:

Latitude 30° 25' 25" North

Longitude 90° 8' 14" West

Distribution Point 1:

Latitude 30° 25' 26" North

Longitude 90° 8' 1" West

Distribution Point 2:

Latitude 30° 25' 27" North

Longitude 90° 7' 53" West

Distribution Point 3:

Latitude 30° 25' 26" North

Longitude 90° 7' 47" West

Distribution Point 4:

Latitude 30° 25' 26" North

Longitude 90° 7' 41" West

Distribution Point 5:

Latitude 30° 25' 26" North

Longitude 90° 7' 40" West

Description:

treated sanitary wastewater

**Expected Flow:** 

0.16 MGD

Type of Flow Measurement which the facility is currently using:

Combination totalizing meter/continuous recorder

There is only one outfall. The water is distributed via a header system over 76 acres of the Tchefuncta Club Wetland with a southerly sheet flow into the lower Tchefuncte River. The header system will ensure that the treated effluent is spread evenly over the wetland surface.

## V. <u>RECEIVING WATERS:</u>

Subsegment 040802, Lower Tchefuncte River – From the Bogue Falaya River down to La. Hwy. 22, excluding any tributaries from the Bogue Falaya River south to La. Hwy. 22 (Scenic), is listed on LDEQ's Final 2004 303(d) List as impaired for organic enrichment/low DO (EPA-Category 5) and mercury. To date no TMDLs have been completed for this waterbody. A reopener clause will be established in the permit to allow for the requirement of more stringent effluent limitations and requirements as imposed by a TMDL. Until completion of TMDLs for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin, those suspected causes for impairment which are not directly attributed to the sanitary wastewater point source category have been eliminated in the formulation of effluent limitations and other requirements of this permit. Additionally, suspected causes of impairment which could be attributed to pollutants which were not determined to be discharged at a level which would cause, have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any present state water quality standard were also eliminated.

### Organic Enrichment/Low DO (EPA-Category 5)

Nutrients in the discharge should be substantially assimilated in the wetland. A maximum total nitrogen and phosphours per square mile per year is set in the permit to assure assimilation. The annual nutrient loading is 8.1 grams/square meter/year Nitrogen and 2.4 grams/square meter/year Phosphorus. Due to the assimilative capacity of the wetland, there should be little or no impact of nutrients on the Lower Tchefuncte River. However, to protect against the potential for oxygen consuming pollutants that could cause instream DO problems, and for the discharges of organic materials and nutrients at levels exceeding state water quality standards, BOD<sub>5</sub> limitations have been established in the general permit.

#### Mercury

The mercury impairment listed for subsegment 040802 applies only to those waterbodies specifically identified in LDEQ's Final 2004 Integrated Report, and not to the entire subsegment unless so specified. Because the discharge from this facility is not directly into the Lower Tchefuncte River – From the Bogue Falaya River down to La. Hwy. 22, excluding ant tributaries from the Bogue Falaya River south to La. Hwy. 22 (Scenic), mercury will not be addressed in permit development.

The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 040802 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin are as indicated in the table below.<sup>1</sup>:

Overall Degree of Support for Segment	Degree of Support of Each Use						
	Primary Contact Recreation	Secondary Contact Recreation	Propagation of Fish & Wildlife	Outstanding Natural Resource Water	Drinking Water Supply	Shell fish Propagation	Agriculture
Partial Supporting	Full Supporting	Full Supporting	Non Supporting	Full Supporting	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1/</sup>The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 040802 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin are as indicated in LAC 33:1X.1123.C.3, Table (3) and the 2004 Water Quality Management Plan, Water Quality Inventory Integrated Report, Appendix A, respectively.

## VI. <u>ENDANGERED SPECIES:</u>

The receiving waterbody, Subsegment 040802 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin, is not listed in Section II.2 of the Implementation Strategy as requiring consultation with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). This strategy was submitted with a letter dated September 29, 2006 from Watson (FWS) to Brown (LDEQ). Therefore, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the LDEQ and the FWS, no further informal (Section 7, Endangered Species Act) consultation is required. It was determined that the issuance of the LPDES permit is not likely to have an adverse effect on any endangered or candidate species or the critical habitat. The effluent limitations established in the permit ensure protection of aquatic life and maintenance of the receiving water as aquatic habitat.

## VII. <u>HISTORIC SITES:</u>

The discharge is from an existing facility location, which does not include an expansion beyond the existing perimeter. Therefore, there should be no potential effect to sites or properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and in accordance with the 'Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection of Historic Properties in Louisiana Regarding LPDES Permits' no consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer is required.

# VIII. PUBLIC NOTICE:

Upon publication of the public notice, a public comment period shall begin on the date of publication and last for at least 30 days thereafter. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the draft permit modification and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permit decision at this Office's address on the first page of the statement of basis. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

Public notice published in:

Local newspaper of general circulation

Office of Environmental Services Public Notice Mailing List

For additional information, contact:

Ronnie Bean
Permits Division
Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Environmental Services
P. O. Box 4313

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

### IX. PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITS:

Final Effluent Limits:

OUTFALL 001 (Discharge into the Tchefuncta Club Estates Wetland; thence into Tchefuncte River; thence into Lake Pontchartrain)

### **EXPECTED FLOW is 0.16 MGD**

Systems utilizing wetland assimilation are given secondary limits. LAC 33:IX.711.D.2.a, states that facilities with treatment equivalent to Secondary Treatment, such as an oxidation pond system are given 30 – 45 mg/l BOD<sub>5</sub> and 90 mg/l TSS (30-day average) levels of treatment. The secondarily treated wastewater discharged into the wetlands provides for the introduction of nutrient rich wastewater and sediments. Both are beneficial to the wetlands in that they stimulate productivity, in the form of increased vegetative growth, and also counter the subsidence rate of the wetland. Additionally, wetlands are known to assimilate the nutrient levels present in secondarily treated wastewater to their advantage. Thus reducing the nutrient loads to the final receiving stream.

There is one outfall, from which the water is distributed via a header system over the wetland site and sheet flows toward the receiving canal. The header system will ensure that the treated effluent is spread evenly over the wetland surface.

Final limits shall BEGIN on the effective date of the permit and EXPIRE on the expiration date of the permit.

Effluent Characteristic	Monthly Avg. (lbs./day)	Monthly Avg.	Weekly Avg.	Basis
BOD₅	40	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	Limits are based on secondary treatment for sanitary wastewater in accordance with LAC33:IX.711D.2.b.

Page 5

Effluent Characteristic	Monthly Avg. (lbs./day)	Monthly Avg.	Weekly Avg.	Basis
TSS	120	90 mg/l	135 mg/l	Limits are based on secondary treatment for sanitary wastewater in accordance with LAC33:IX.711D.2.b.
Total Nitrogen	Report	Report	Report	Used to Compute the Loadings to the Wetlands
Total Phosphorous	Report	Report	Report	Used to Compute the Loadings to the Wetlands

Heavy metals and other toxins found in wastewater can have damaging effects on wetland systems. Research has found that the movement of heavy metals in the natural cycle of the wetland vegetation and sediments implies that wetlands are not final sinks for these metals. As a result, effluents with high metals concentrations such as would be introduced by industrial waste should not be applied to wetland systems. Due to the potential long-term, detrimental impacts from heavy metals, salts, biocides, and other toxins, wetland discharges should be limited primarily to domestic effluent. Therefore, no significant industrial users with a waste stream other than sanitary should be added to the system without the written permission of the Department, and possibly the requirement of an approved pretreatment program.

#### Other Effluent Limitations:

### 1) Fecal Coliform

The discharge from this facility is into a water body which has a designated use of Primary Contact Recreation. According to LAC-33:IX.1113.C.5.b.i, the fecal coliform standards forthis water body are 1000/100 ml and 2000/100 ml. However, the limits of 200/100 ml (Monthly Average) and 400/100 ml (Weekly Average) are proposed as Fecal Coliform limits in the permit. These limits are being proposed through Best Professional Judgement in order to ensure that the water body standards are not exceeded, and due to the fact that existing facilities have demonstrated an ability to comply with these limitations using present available technology.

### 2) pH

According to LAC 33:IX.2469.A.1., POTW's must treat to at least secondary levels. Therefore, in accordance with LAC 33:IX.2645.C., the pH shall not be less than 6.0 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units at any time.

### 3) Solids and Foam

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts in accordance with LAC 33:1X.1113.B.7.

#### WETLAND MONITORING

The five (5) year LPDES permits contain technology-based effluent limitations for BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, and pH reflecting the best controls available. Where these technology-based permit limits do not protect water quality or the designated uses, additional water quality-based effluent limitations and/or conditions are included in the LPDES permits. State narrative and numerical water quality standards are used in conjunction with EPA criteria and other available toxicity information to determine the adequacy of technology-based permit limits and the need for additional water quality-based controls.

The state has established a narrative water quality criterion, which states that:

"No substances shall be present in the waters of the state or the sediments underlying said waters in quantities that alone or in combination will be toxic to human, plant, or animal life or significantly increase health risks due to exposure to the substances or consumption of contaminated fish or other aquatic life." (Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, LAC Title 33, Part IX, Chapter 11, Section 1113.B.5.)

However, the State of Louisiana has set the following specific criteria for protection of the receiving Restoration Wetlands. There shall be no:

- STATISTICALLY DECREASE IN FAUNAL SPECIES DIVERSITY
- STATISTICALLY CHANGE IN THE INITIAL SPECIES PLANTING PERCENTAGE (%)

The EPA document Biological Criteria: National Program Guidance for Surface Waters, discusses the Clean Water Act and states that "the general authority for biological criteria comes from Section 101(a) of the Act which establishes as the objective of the Act the restoration and maintenance of the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation's waters, including wetlands. To meet this objective, water quality criteria must include criteria to protect biological integrity. Section 101(a)(2) includes the interim water quality goal for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife." Biological integrity is functionally defined in this EPA manual as "the condition of the aquatic community inhabiting the unimpaired waterbodies of a specified habitat as measured by community structure and function." The importance and function of wetlands include, but are not limited to the following: erosion and flood control, saltwater intrusion control, water quality enhancement, habitat for threatened and endangered species, wildlife habitat, nutrient material cycling, recreation and aesthetics.

Wetland loss is a problem in Louisiana. This problem is caused by insufficient sedimentation and relative sea level rise each year. The introduction of nutrient rich wastewater to natural wetlands is beneficial in that it stimulates productivity in the wetland. This productivity promotes vertical accretion through increased organic matter deposition and the formation of soil through increased root growth. This vertical accretion helps maintain the wetlands, despite the rising water levels. Additionally, the total suspended solids, provided by the wastewater, also increase the sediment level in the wetland.

Although the introduction of treated sanitary wastewater into wetlands renders benefits to the wetland system, changes to the system will occur. Therefore, it is important to address issues, which will indicate the extent of these changes and to determine if the changes are acceptable.

While standard biomonitoring indicates affects on organisms found in free flowing streams and rivers, a biological monitoring schedule broader in scope, and more specific to the wetland ecosystem, than standard biomonitoring, will provide a more direct indication of change in functions of wetland system as a whole.

The following parameters are proposed to be sampled and monitored for the specified wetland component at all three (3) wastewater management area monitoring sites and the two (2) control areas:

- Sampling and classifying the flora planted and determining percentage of total cover for each vegetative species. The sampling will provide information on whether dominance and species diversity of the community is being maintained.
- Growth studies of vegetative productivity, which will provide an indication of health and vigor of
  the plant community.
- Water stage is a gaged measurement of the water depth, which will assist in determining stress in the
  wetlands from hydrologic loadings and will determine the existence of a zone of influence resulting
  from wastewater applications. The zone around the discharge serves to assimilate the wastewater most
  effectively. This zone grows larger as wastewater continues to be discharged and the assimilative
  capacity of the immediate area becomes saturated.
- Metals and nutrient data from plant tissue samples, which will identify excesses or deficiencies
  that could become problematic.
- Sediment analysis for metals, and nutrients, which will indicate whether or not metals are bound and buried in the sediments, and nutrients assimilated.
- Corresponding analysis of surface water must be made to provide a comparison of water quality in the vicinity of the discharge and at increasing distance from it.

Compared to data from the baseline study and the control area, the effects of the discharge on the biological integrity (as defined above) may be accurately assessed.

The wetland monitoring procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit are as follows:

The permittee shall submit the results of any wetland monitoring testing performed in accordance with this LPDES Permit:

TAXIVITTOR	THE TOTAL	Wall (ND) Go	guayaenyanga arkanana
Species Classification and Survival	A		
Growth Studies	A <sub>1</sub>		
Water Stage			M
Metals Analysis: Mg, Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Zn, Fe, Ni, Ag, Se	P <sub>1</sub>	Pı	S
Nutrient Analysis I: TKN, TP	Pi	P <sub>1</sub>	S
Nutrient Analysis II: NH <sub>3</sub> N, NO <sub>2</sub> N, NO <sub>3</sub> N, PO <sub>4</sub>		P <sub>1</sub>	S
Others: BOD5, TSS, pH, Dissolved Oxygen			S

Water quality will be monitored by taking water samples along the path of flow of the effluent in the Wetland Management Area and from one or more control sites.

If loading rates exceed 8.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr total nitrogen or 2.4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr total phosphorus, then either the loading rates must be reduced or the assimilation area must be increased.

## Sampling in the WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AREA must be conducted as follows:

Collection of a minimum of three samples per site in each of three sites: 1) approximately 100' from the discharge point, 2) midway, and 3) at the end of the restoration area.

# Sampling for the CONTROL AREA must be conducted as follows:

Collection of a minimum of three samples per site in each of the two sites. All three samples will be taken from a site or sites similar to the wastewater management area.

A: ANNUALLY. Sample once per year at all three (3) WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AREAS and the two (2) CONTROL AREAS and included in the yearly report.

 $A_1$  – Tree height measured in the restoration area only.

M: MONTHLY. Samples should be taken at all three (3) WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

SAMPLE AREAS and the two (2) CONTROL AREAS each month and included in

the yearly report.

P: PERIODICALLY. Sampling must be made once during March through May, and once during September through November in the fourth year of the permit period for all three (3)

WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AREAS and the two (2) CONTROL AREAS.

P<sub>1</sub> – Sample preservation, handling, and analysis must meet the specifications of the Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods, third edition (EPA Publication Number SW-846, 1986, or most recent revision) or an

equivalent substitute as approved by the administrative authority.

S: SEMI-ANNUAL. Sample twice per year: once during September through February, and once during

March through August (sampling events must be a minimum of 4 months apart) for all three (3) WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AREAS and the two (2) CONTROL

AREAS and included in the yearly report.

#### SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Sampling procedures to be used during the wetland monitoring phase. (The Use of Louisiana Swamp Forests for Application of Treated Municipal Wastewater: Standard Operating Procedures for Monitoring the Effects of Effluent Discharge. John W. Day, Jr., Joel Lindsey, Jason N. Day, and Robert R. Lane, Comite Resources, Inc. Used with the permission of Dr. John W. Day, Jr., March 14, 2003.)

### WATER QUALITY

- 1. Dissolved oxygen and water temperature: is measured using a Yellow Springs Instrument Co. meter or an ORION Model 820 Dissolved Oxygen meter or equivalent. The probe will be calibrated within four hours of use with a known standard (100% air saturation).
- pH & TDS: Measurements of pH and TDS (Total Dissolved Solids) are made in the field using a Corning Checkmate M90 Field System or equivalent. Water samples will be collected in 500 ml polyethylene bottles and returned to the laboratory where pH will again be measured in the lab using a Jenco Markson pH meter, Model 6100 or equivalent.
- 3. Nutrients: Discrete water samples will be taken 5 to 10 cm below the water surface with effort taken not to stirbottom sediments or include any film that may be present on water surface. Samples are collected in 500 ml acid washed polyethylene bottles. The samples will be immediately stored at 4°C, on ice, for preservation. The samples will be transported to an analytical laboratory, and within 24 hours filtered and sub-sampled. Samples analyzed for NO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub> will be filtered in the laboratory using 0.45 um Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters or equivalent, and unfiltered samples will be sub-sampled into 125 mL bottles. Both filtered and unfiltered samples will be frozen until analysis. The samples will be analyzed for nitrite + nitrate (NO2+NO<sub>3</sub>-N), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>-N), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub>-P) by an EPA and DEQ approved analytical laboratory using Standard Methods.
- 4. Total Suspended Solids: TSS will be determined by filtering 100-200 mL of sample water through re-rinsed, dried and weighed 47 mm 0.45 um Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters. Filters will then be dried for 1 hr at 105° C, weighted, dried for another 15 minutes, and reweighed for quality assurance (Standard Methods 1992).
- 5. Biological Oxygen Demand: BOD samples will be collected in standard 300 ml glass BOD bottles. BOD<sub>5</sub> analysis will be from water samples collected in 500ml polyethylene bottles, stored on ice and taken to the laboratory for analysis. Initial D.O. will be measured within 24 hours. Final D.O. will be measured after 5 days of incubation at 20°C. Measurement of BOD is the responsibility of the facility.
- 6. ICP Analysis: Water samples will be collected from the effluent pipe and surface water in the treatment and control area for ICP and IC analysis. The following will be measured: Mg, Pb, Zn, and Cr. The results of the ICP and IC analysis will be used in reporting the metals and nutrient parameters.
- Coliform Analysis: Fecal coliform (i.e. Escherichia coli) will be tested using membrane filtration as a field
  preparation, and then sent to an EPA certified laboratory for analysis. Ten ml of sample water will be passed
  through a 0.45 micron filter. The filter will be stored in a sterile petri dish and brought within 8 hrs-to-acertified laboratory for analysis.
- 8. Statistical Analysis: One-way analysis of variance analysis will be carried out to compare treatment and control area parameters using statistical software. An alpha probability level of <0.05 will be used to define a significant difference. Comparisons of means with significant ANOVA tests will be made using Tukey-Kramer Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test (Sall and Lehman 1996). Other statistical tests may be used as appropriate.

### SOILS

1. Sediment Cores: At least one sediment core will be taken from each study site (Treatment & Control) with a 7.5 cm stainless steel corer. Following the removal of large litter debris, the top 10 to 20 cm of the samples will be separated by horizon, dried, ground and analyzed. Parameters measured will include: pH, electrical conductivity (EC), Mg, Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Zn, Fe, Ni, Ag, Se, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>+NO<sub>3</sub>-N, PO<sub>4</sub>-P, TKN, and TP. All elemental analyses will be done using an inductively coupled argon plasma quantometer (ICP). Results will be reported as the average of duplicate analyses that are within a 10% confidence interval. The results will be based on oven dry weight.

#### **VEGETATION**

To sample forest vegetation, three or more subplots should be established at each main plot. Normally, main plots will be established at a near, mid, and outlet locations in the Assimilation site, and another main plot established at a Control site. Each plot will be orientated perpendicular to the hydrological gradient.

1. Tree Species Composition: The relative importance of each major tree species in both the Assimilation and Control areas will be based on the density (total number), dominance (basal area), and frequency of occurrence in each of the plots using equations 1 and 2 (Barbour et al. 1987).

Relative density = (individuals of a species)/(total individuals of all species)
(1)
Relative frequency = (frequency of species)/(total frequency of all species in area)
(2)

- 2. Nutrient and Metals Analysis of Green Leaves: Green leaf samples should be collected during the last year of the monitoring from the major species in the treatment and control areas, once during March through May and once during September through November. Samples will be oven-dried at 70°C for at least 48 hours, ground in a Wiley mill to pass a 40 mesh screen, and stored in whirl-pak bags. Samples will be analyzed in the laboratory for Mg, Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Zn, Fe, Ni, Ag, Se, TKN and TP. The tissue analyses should be done by a wet digestion method.
- 3. Marsh Vegetation Production: Net production in areas dominated by non-woody herbaceous vegetation will be determined by end of season live (EOSL) biomass analysis. Sampling should be conducted during the last week of September or the first week of October. At least five 0.06 m² clip plots will be taken at each location using randomly placed quadrants. Vegetation within the quadrant will be cut as close to the surface as possible, stored in labeled paper bags, brought back to the laboratory, and refrigerated until processing. Live material will be separated from dead, and dried at 60° C to a constant weight. All data will be presented on a live dry weight per square meter basis (g dry wt m²).

Tchefuncta Club Estates, Inc Tchefuncta Club Estates Aerated Lagoon

LA0049379; AI 19187; PER20070001

Page 11

## X. PREVIOUS PERMITS:

LPDES Permit No. LA0049379 lssued: January 1, 2002 Expires: December 31, 2006

Effluent Characteristic	Discharge Limi	tations	Monitoring Requirements	
	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow CBOD <sub>5</sub> TSS Ammonia-Nitrogen Fecal Coliform Colonies pH (Standard Units	Report 10 mg/l 15 mg/l 5 mg/l 200	Report 15 mg/l 23 mg/l 10 mg/l 400	Continuous 2/month 2/month 2/month 2/month	Recorder Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab

# XI. ENFORCEMENT AND SURVEILLANCE ACTIONS:

# A) Inspections

A review of the files indicates that an inspection was performed at the facility on or about January 18, 2006, to make a post Katrina assessment. This inspection revealed the following:

- 1. The facility was operating at full capacity.
- 2. No storm damage.
- 3. No excursions in 2005.

# B) Compliance and/or Administrative Orders

A review of the files indicates that there are no recent enforcement actions administered against this facility.

# C) DMR Review

A review of the files for the period from April 1, 2005 through March 1, 2007, revealed the following:

Effluent Characteristic	Number of Violations
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	10
TSS	14
Ammonia	3
Fecal Coliform	0
рН	0

Parameter	Outfall	Period of Excursion	Permit Limit	Reported Quantity
CBOD,	001	April 2005	10 mg/l	12 mg/l
(Monthly Average)	1			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	October 2005	10 mg/l	12 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
Ammonia-Nitrogen	001	January 2006	5 mg/l	6.4 mg/l
(Monthly Average)	•			

Ammonia-Nitrogen	001	January 2006	10 mg/l	11.8 mg/l
(Weekly Average)				
Ammonia-Nitrogen	001	February 2006	5 mg/l	9.4 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
CBOD₅	001	March 2006	10 mg/l	14 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	March 2006	15 mg/l	23 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	March 2006	23 mg/l	25 mg/l
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	April 2006	15 mg/l	27 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	April 2006	23 mg/l	30 mg/l
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	June 2006	10 mg/l	12 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	June 2006	15 mg/l	17 mg/l
(Weekly Average)	-			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	September 2006	10 mg/l	11 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	September 2006	15 mg/l	20 mg/l
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	October 2006	10 mg/l	11 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	November 2006	10 mg/l	14 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	November 2006	15 mg/l	18 mg/l
(Weekly Average)				
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	November 2006	15 mg/l	16 mg/l
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	December 2006	15 mg/l	22 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	December 2006	23 mg/l	24 mg/l
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	January 2007	15 mg/l	24 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	January 2007	23 mg/l	26 mg/l
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	February 2007	15 mg/l	36 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	February 2007	23 mg/l	46 mg/l
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	001	March 2007	10 mg/l	13 mg/l
(Monthly Average)				
TSS (Monthly Average)	001	March 2007	15 mg/l	41 mg/l
TSS (Weekly Average)	001	March 2007	23 mg/l	43 mg/l

### XII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The Department of Environmental Quality reserves the right to impose more stringent discharge limitations and/or additional restrictions in the future to maintain the water quality integrity and the designated uses of the receiving water bodies based upon water quality studies. These studies may indicate the need for more advanced wastewater treatment. Studies of similar dischargers and receiving water bodies have resulted in monthly average effluent limitations of 5 mg/l CBOD<sub>5</sub>, and 2 mg/l NH<sub>3</sub>-N. Therefore, prior to upgrading or expanding this facility, the permittee should contact the Department to determine the status of the work being done to establish future effluent limitations and additional permit conditions.

The Monitoring Requirements, Sample Types, and Frequency of Sampling as shown in the permit are standard for facilities of flows between 0.10 and 0.50 MGD.

Effluent Characteristics	Monitoring Requirements		
	Measurement	<u>Sample</u>	
	Frequency	<u>Type</u>	
Flow	Continuous	Recorder	
BOD <sub>5</sub>	2/month	Grab	
Total Suspended Solids	2/month	Grab	
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	2/month	Grab	
рН	2/month	Grab	
Total Nitrogen	1/quaterly	3 Hr. Composite	
Total Phosphorous	1/quaterly	3 Hr. Composite	

#### XIII TENTATIVE DETERMINATION:

On the basis of preliminary staff review, the Department of Environmental Quality has made a tentative determination to revoke and reissue a permit for the discharge described in this Statement of Basis.

#### XIV REFERENCES:

Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan, Vol. 10, "Wasteload Allocations and Discharger Inventory", Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1992.

Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan, Vol. 5-B, "Water Quality Inventory", Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1998.

Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Chapter 11 - "Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards", Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1999.

<u>Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Chapter 23 - "The LPDES Program"</u>, Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1999.

<u>Low-Flow Characteristics of Louisiana Streams</u>, Water Resources Technical Report No. 22, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1980.

Index to Surface Water Data in Louisiana, Water Resources Basic Records Report No. 17, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1989.

- Conner, W. H., J. W. Day, Jr., and J. D. Bergeron. 1989. A Use Attainability Analysis of Wetlands for Receiving Treated Municipal and Small Industry Wastewater: A Feasibility Study Using Baseline Data From Thibodaux, Louisiana, Center for Wetlands Resource, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 78 p.
- Day, J.W., J. Rybczyk, W. Conner, P. Delgado, S. Feagley, I. Hesse, R. Pratt, A. Westphal, and X. Zhang. February 20, 1998. A Use Attainability Analysis for the Use of Swamp Forests Near Thibodaux, Louisiana for Application of Treated Municipal Wastewater: Monitoring the Effects of the Discharge 1992-1997, Louisiana, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Day, J. W., A. M. Breaux, S. Feagley, P. Kemp, and C. Courville. 1994. A Use Attainability Analysis of Long-term Wastewater Discharge on the Cypriere Perdue Forested Wetland at Breaux Bridge, Louisiana, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Day, J. W., J. Rybczyk, R. Pratt, A. Westphal, T. Blahnik, G. Garson, and P. Kemp. 1997 a. A Use Attainability Analysis for Long-term Wastewater Discharge on the Ramos Forested Wetland at Amelia, Louisiana Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Day, J. W., J. Rybczyk, R. Pratt, M. Sutula, A. Westphal, T. Blahnik, P. Delgado, P. Kemp, A. J. Englande, C. Y. Hu, G. Jin, and H. W. Jeng. 1997 b. A Use Attainability Analysis for Long-term Wastewater Discharge to the Poydras Verret Wetland in St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Day, J. W., A. Westphal, C. Brantley, R. Pratt, B. Perez, and J. N. Day. 1999. A Use Attainability Analysis for municipal Wastewater Discharge to Coastal Wetlands at Mandeville, Louisiana, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 41 p.
- Day, J.W., Lane, R.R., Lindsey, Joel, and J.N. Day 2005. Broussard Wetland Wastewater Assimilation Use Attainability Analysis (UAA), Comite Resources, Inc.
- <u>Hellawell, J. M.</u> 1986. Biological Indicator of Freshwater Pollution and Environmental Management. Elsevier, London.
- Kadlec, R. H. and H. Alvord, Jr. 1989. Mechanisms of Water Quality Improvement in Wetland Treatment Systems. Pages 489-498 in D. W. Fisk, ed., Wetlands: Concerns and Successes-Proceedings sponsored by American Water Resources Association, September 17-22, 1989, Tampa, Florida.
- <u>Lenat. D.</u> 1983. Chironomid Taxa Richness: natural variation and use in pollution assessment. Freshwater Invertebr. Biol. 2:192-198.
- Pratt, R. 1998. The Use of Benthic Macroinvertebrates for Monitoring the Discharge of Municipal Effluent into a Forested Wetland at Amelia, Louisiana. MS Thesis, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Rybczyk, J.M. 1997. The Use of secondarily treated wastewater effluent for forested wetland restoration in a subsiding coastal zone. Ph.D. Dissertation. Louisiana State University.
- Sklar, F. H. 1983. Water Budget, Benthological Characterization, and Simulation of Aquatic Material Flows in a Louisiana Freshwater Swamp. Ph.D. Dissertation, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

<u>LPDES Permit Application to Discharge Wastewater</u>, Tchefuncte Club Estates, Inc, Tchefuncte Club Estates Aerated Lagoon, February 21, 2007, Revised Application received on June 18, 2007.